



Minutes of the Schools Forum 11th October 2012

Present:

Roger Hughes (Chairman), Iris Butler, Russell Green, Ann Henderson, David Theobald Maurice Codd, Sue Foot, Colin Kirkman, Mike Lock, Debbie Main, Frances Ward, Lyndsey Kings and Damien Rees

(Also in attendance: Matt Redwood, Lisa Finn, Rob Butler, Susan Moses)

1. Apologies/Changes to Membership

Apologies were received from Gill Battye, Cathy Gilfillan (who was represented by Daneian Rees), Roy Pike (who was represented by Lynsey Kings) and Mike Lock (who was represented by James Evans). The Chair also welcomed Suzie Franklin, Head of Schools and Councillor Chris Lewis, Executive Lead for Schools, Families and Communities

2. Minutes

The Minutes of the meeting of the Schools' Forum held on 27th September 2012 were confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

3. Matters Arising

No matters arising from previous minutes (27/09/12).

4. Report on the consultation to changes for the primary and secondary schools funding formula for 2013/14- report to be tabled at the meeting

The Head of School Commissioning presented a paper outlining the amalgamated responses, completed by schools and other consultees, to questions about possible changes to the Torbay schools funding formula 2013/14. The School Forum were asked to consider the responses.

The Forum were advised that 16 responses had been received from primary schools; Sherwell Valley; Oldway; Collaton St Mary; St Marychurch; Roselands; Sacred Heart; Preston, Barton, Priory, Upton St James, Queensway, Furzeham and a joint response from Watcome and Kings Ash. 1 secondary school replied – St Cuthbert Mayne and 1 from Prospect Academy Trust.

The Forum considered and debated each of the questions and responses in turn and agreed to endorse the following recommendations to the changes to the funding as:-

Recommendation 1. To use the EYFSP as the proxy data for SEN in primary schools

After significant debate the Forum considered the guidance from the DfE alongside the responses from schools and decided to agree the proposal to use prior attainment in the formula with the Early Years Foundation Stage Profile data used for primary schools.

Recommendation 2. Proposals to distribute the current inclusion sub formula funding 33.3%, IDACI 33.3%, and Prior Attainment 33.3%.
Forum considered this point and agreed the recommendation.

Recommendation 3: the use of eligible FSM numbers to distribute in the formula not Ever 6 not 'over 6'. Forum agreed the proposal to the use of eligible FSM numbers in the formula

Forum considered the case and school responses and decided that eligible FSM numbers be used in the new formula rather than 'ever 6'

Recommendation 4: the use of IDACI banding .

Forum agreed the proposal that IDACI banding is used in 5 bands.

Recommendation 5: The allocation of funding in the current formula against non admissible factors reassigned to new criteria.

Forum agreed the reassignment of funding to the new criteria as outlined in appendix 7 of the Schools Consultation document.

Recommendation 6: That pupil mobility and LAC are not used as distribution factors within the new formula.

This was agreed by Forum

Recommendation 7: The distribution methodology for new delegated funding was discussed and agreed by Forum as outlined in appendix 14 of the schools funding consultation paper.

Recommendation 8: Distribution of SEN/statementing funding 15% pupil entitlement, 15% FSM, 25% IDACI and 45% Prior Attainment..

It was proposed by Roger Hughes (Chair) and seconded by Debbie Main and Maurice Codd. Forum agreed this % split within the new formula

Recommendation 9: The Forum were asked to consider the general themes that emerged from the responses relating to schools in deprived areas losing under the proposed changes, differences in funding for statemented pupils and transitional funding.

The Forum were advised that under the proposed changes some schools serving less advantaged areas do have a reduced allocation under one element of the formula, as a result of the removal of the use of transience and replacing it with FSM, IDACI and Prior Attainment. However whether a school gains or loses under

the formula changes is not related solely to the area they serve but is often a combination of circumstances that are particular to individual schools such as site area, number of statemented pupils and numbers of post threshold teachers.

Forum discussed the changes to statementing funding and not all schools in deprived areas lose due to the difference between statementing funding allocated to individuals and formula SEN funding. This is a national change which will affect many schools.

Changes to transience affect a small number of schools but an analysis shows that it is often a combination of specific and individual school factors that are leading to large changes in funding rather than one particular element of the formula.

Transitional protection will be provided to schools by the MFG. Schools that gain due to formula changes will have to have gains capped to ensure affordability within the overall DSG allocation.

Action:

- i) **A summary of the Consultation responses to be circulated to schools (Matt Redwood)**

5. Report on the consultation to changes for the special schools and PRU funding formula for 2013/14-report to be tabled at the meeting

The Head of School Commissioning presented a paper outlining the responses received from Combe Pafford and the Torbay PRU to proposed changes in the special school funding formula. The School Forum were asked to consider the responses.

The Forum discussed proposals to replace all BESD places with 1BESD place with an appropriate change in top up funding and concerns about the 'commissioning' of places at schools to match the need against places purchased.

The Forum agreed that the issue of the PRU representation will be resolved in future with changes to School Forum representation

The Forum :-

- i) endorsed the general principles of special school and PRU funding
- ii) agreed the removal of BESD2 banding and changes to BESD 1 banding
- iii) noted that further meetings with special schools and requested further reports on the progress of these meetings

6. Central budget funding of services and de delegation of funding 2013/14

The Head of School Commissioning outlined a paper about the changes to funding for April 2013/14 for further delegation to schools of a range of budgets that have previously been spent centrally by Children's Service on providing services to schools.

The Forum were advised that services were often inter-dependant and removal of one can have a knock on effect to other budget lines and services.

The Forum were advised on services which could not be de- delegated but schools can buy into service and services which could be de-delegated for maintained schools.

The School Forum agreed and voted in their phases on the recommendations, on behalf of maintained schools, that the following funding remains managed centrally.

Budget function	Primary	Secondary	Special and PRU
School Improvement	Y	Y	Y
NNDR contingency	Y	Y	Y
TGA	N	Y	Y
Administration of FSM	Y	Y	Y
School Insurance	Y	Y	Y
Support for Gypsy, Roma and Traveller service	Y	Y	Y

The Forum also agreed to retain centrally funding for:
 Funding for Significant pre 16 growth for named schools £82k
 Co-ordinated Admissions service £94k
 Servicing School Forum £56k
 Carbon Reduction Commitment £90k

School Forum was not at quorate for voting on the capital expenditure from revenue (9k). It was agreed that this would be deferred to the next meeting

Action

- i) Remaining areas for de-delegation are the subject of a report to the December Forum meeting

7. Projected Out turn for the 2012/13 DSG

The Head of School Commissioning informed the Forum that the projected DSG outturn for 2012/13 will be a breakeven or zero position.

It was noted that there were some significant overspends and underspends within the budget.

The Forum considered the overspends in the education other than at school (EOTAS) and SEN budget.

The Forum were advised that these overspends are offset by a NNDR refund. The EOTAs budget was overspending due to an increase in provision for primary age pupils that are not educated in mainstream primary schools which is now based at the primary early intervention centre at Chestnut . The Chestnut centre, as a PRU, will receive a delegated formula allocation from April 2013.

The Forum were advised that the pressures on the independent special schools element of the SEN budget will continue as appropriate provision within Torbay is not available.

The Forum noted the position and were mindful that there will be very little flexibility within the DSG in the future.

8. Future Meeting Dates

The Forum agreed the following future meeting dates:

6th December 2012
7th February 2013
21st March 2013

Chair